3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION No. 2343 OF 2005

PETITIONER Ravindra Singh, aged 47 years, son of Ravi Ranjan Singh, Assistant Grade –I, Secretariat, Chhattisgarh Vidhan Sabha, R/o. F-6, P.W.D. Colony, Katora Talab, Raipur, Distt. Raipur (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. Secretariat, Chhattisgarh Vidan Sabha through the Secretary, Chhattisgarh Vidhan Sabha, Raipur Distt. Raipur (C.G.)

2. Surendra Kumar Batham, Asstt. Grade- I, Secretariat, C.G. Vidhan Sabha, Raipur (C.G.)

3. Keshar Singh Kanwar, Asstt. Grade- I, Secretariat, C.G. Vidhan Sabha, Raipur (C.G.)

4. Rampal Kanwar, Asstt. Grade- I, Secretariat, C.G. Vidhan Sabha, Raipur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 2130/05
Presented by Shri Sahu
dated 10.05.05

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARY AND/OR ANY OTHER SUITABLE WRIT OF LIKE NATURE

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 2343/2005 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

15.06.2005

Shri Sanjay K. Agrawal, counsel for the petitioner.

Heard on admission.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the promotion of the petitioner to the post of Assistant Grade-I was due since long and was not given to him. In this regard, the petitioner made a representation to the concerned authority and after consideration of the representation, the order dated 20.2.2005 was issued in favour of the petitioner by which the petitioner was promoted to the post of Assistant Grade-I.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that though the promotion was given to the petitioner but the same was given w.e.f. 20.2.2005. According to the petitioner, he should be given his promotion since the date on which similarly placed persons (respondents No. 2 to 4) were promoted and the petitioner should be awarded difference of amount of salary and seniority etc.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner had filed a detailed representation in this regard vide Annexure-P/10 dated 11.04.2005 but the same has not been disposed of so far.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays that for the present, the petition may be disposed of with a direction to the competent authority to decide the representation of the

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 2343/2005 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश —2—	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

petitioner within the stipulated period, so that the matter may come to an end.

This limited prayer can be allowed even without notices to the other side. The same is allowed and it is hereby directed that if the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-P/10 has not been decided so far, the same be decided by a reasoned order by the competent authority of respondent No. 1 within a period of two months from the date of filing of certified copy of this order along with a copy of the petition.

With the aforesaid observation, the petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.W.P. No. 1896/2005 & I.A. No. 4625/2005 also stand disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge

Vatti